SOCIAL INNOVATION IN CHINA: THE IDEAL, MODEL AND POLICIES

Social innovation has been a popular idea in China since 2000. The exploration of “social management innovation” is a powerful driving force of innovation in the public sector, and in the private sphere, activities relating to social entrepreneurship yet generate many innovative initiatives.
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SPREADING THE IDEAL OF SOCIAL INNOVATION

Following the fast-paced economic growth that led to new types of development over the last three decades, China shifted its strategy of industrialization from one focusing on labor-intensive industry and investment-based production in the early days of economic reform, to one focusing on innovation-oriented growth in the late 1990s. As an example of the state’s regulation on technological innovation that took place in 1995, the government publication “Decision on Accelerating the Progress of Science and Technology” placed great emphasis on technological innovation and managerial innovation. This policy also highlighted the need for social innovation in both the business and social sectors. In the social sector, innovative actions were generated mainly in two policy areas after the mid-2000s; one was social management at the local and community level, and the other was in the service area. The state also encouraged a strategy of mass entrepreneurship and innovation in the business sector to cope with the challenge of decreased economic growth rates in the so-called “new normal” era, which advocated the adoption of innovation-driven development as a national strategy. It also emphasized the significance of the notion of social innovation as a guideline for national development. Innovative actions in the social sphere took place mainly in two thematic areas: social management at the local and community level, and in the service area. Established on the ground of these developments, we present an overview of social innovation practices in China.

SOCIAL INNOVATION IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR

In the public sector, the reforms in the state’s administration system led to a reshaping of innovative practices by strengthening the coordination among social actors and enhancing public participation in social governance. In this process, various forms of collaborative bodies were created as resources for innovation activities through the interaction among the social agents. For instance, in Hangzhou city, which was rated among the top five Chinese cities with regards to living standards, happiness and livability in 2015 and 2016, the interaction between the public and private institutions/organizations were promoted, which not only boosted the morale and encouraged the social harmony but also stimulated innovative practices and provided new ways of social administration. These collaborative bodies extended new areas of exploration for public goodness and also pioneered different experiments to reform the structure of public administration. These experiments led to different models of social management, such as the Shenyang model, Wuhan model, Nanjing model, Shenzhen model and Shanghai model. The Shenyang model features free elections for community leaders with an increased degree of autonomy. The Nanjing model is characterized by empowering the local residential committees. The Yantian model of Shenzhen city focuses on the separation of the residential communities and government agencies on a local level. The Shanghai model supports the roles...
of local offices to expose new frontlines of social administration for the enhancement and the effectiveness of the system. Due to their unique characteristics, each of these models can compete with and boost each other to raise the social and administrative value of these innovations.

SOCIAL INNOVATION IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR

With regard to social innovation in the workplace, the notion of corporate social responsibility (CSR) has been promoted and practiced by many companies. Since the mid 2000s, CSR standards have been adopted by many companies to enhance the efficiency of human resource management. Besides, after the Wenchuan Earthquake in the Sichuan province of China in 2008 the private charity sector grew rapidly. In the last decade, the construction of platforms for charity increased the transparency of private donations, which encouraged private firms to actively engage in charitable activities. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) are still the innovation agents in the private sector for generating resources of welfare. In order to support this development, the state has relaxed the threshold for their registration in the last three years. Local authorities have also been allocated a large amount of public finance to support NGOs; accordingly they have contracted NGOs for the execution of social programs and delivering of services through reinforcing their financial capacity. The graphs illustrate the strengths NGOs have in generating innovative practices. The survey includes the projects of social innovations implemented by different social agents. Nationwide, 161 projects were included in the champion of social innovation awards, selected from 22 provinces and autonomous regions in 2010. In addition to this, a handsome number of more 249 projects were selected in 2012 [1]. The data reveals that the major contributors of social innovation activities are social enterprises and NGOs.

SOCIAL INNOVATION IN THE SERVICE SECTOR

The demand for social innovation is intensified in the service area, and in particular in the field of elderly care. China has an aging society with the population aged over 65 now accounting for 10.8% of the population [2]. Due to this pressure, there is an urgent need to develop elderly care services using modern technology. In this context, smart elderly care has become an emerging area for elderly care services, as it can integrate effectively community care, health care and personal services [3]. Meanwhile, municipal governments conducted experiments on care insurance programs in the 2010 and also explored various ways of care arrangements to integrate community care, health care and personal services for urban and rural residents. Beyond the area of elderly care, social services for disabled people have been extended by setting up various local programs, such as respite homes and convalescent homes. Voluntary services for other dependent groups have also been organized in the many ways which are flourishing well [4]. For instance, the provision of education services which are delivered through nationwide “Hope projects for poor families”. These actions are a hallmark of local initiatives and societal mobility, performed with the support of experienced social workers and professional services from welfare administration.

CONCLUSION

Social innovation has been promoted as a national development strategy in China since the mid 2010s. New ideas, models of organizational behavior, schedules and policy programs have been tested for social innovation. Those developments cultivate a climate that favors social innovation as a general notion. The state recently declared four guiding principles for social innovation, namely “innovation, coordination, ecological, openness and shareness”. These ideas support social innovative practices in different ways and thus support their development despite a number of social challenges.
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SEEK, SHARE, AND SPREAD: THE THREE KEY WORDS OF SEOUL CITY’S SOCIAL INNOVATION

The city of Seoul has made social innovation relevant to citizens’ daily lives and has brought fundamental changes to how we live and are connected to others. Innovations in public service, the sharing city, and the autonomous districts are the main areas showing the three key concepts of social innovation actively pursued in Seoul.
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INNOVATING PUBLIC SERVICES

Visiting Community Service Center: Chatdong

In 2014, a mother and her two daughters committed suicide due to the hardships of life in Seoul, leaving the last words “We are badly sorry...” and setting aside a small amount of money for rent and utility bills. No welfare services were available for them, even though they were without income due to poor health conditions. The incident shocked Korean society and spurred changes in social support systems, including welfare services.

Responding to this incident, the Seoul Metropolitan Government took the social innovation approach, which changed not only the welfare service system itself but also how to deliver services to the right persons at the right time. By shifting the concept of welfare service delivery from “going for” to “coming to”, the chatdong program, meaning visiting community service, was launched. Previous welfare services were only available to those who walked into the center, but through the chatdong, civil servants (called “our village action officers”) come to meet people and offer needed services. Action officers also find available resources in the community and connect people to take care of each other. As visiting welfare planners, they work hard to eliminate welfare blind spots, such as in the tragic incident in 2014, by locating neglected poor households and linking them to the correct support. Unused space in community centers was opened for social support activities and education. Since the chatdong project started in 2015 and in 80 villages (dong) of 13 autonomous districts (gu), 12,281 households were newly assessed as being in poverty. The project expanded to 342 villages in 2017[1].

SHARING CITY INNOVATION

Car Sharing, Bicycle Sharing, and Seoul Innovation Park

Another aspect of social innovation in Seoul is sharing. The “sharing city” is not just a symbolic concept but a critical means by which Seoul – as a mega city with ten million residents – tackled chronic urban problems such as traffic, pollution, and parking. Car sharing initiated by the ‘Nanum Car’ project displays Seoul’s innovative public policy. This solution utilizes private car sharing services while the city effectively provides public parking spaces to them. It was successfully implemented, and usage and interest among citizens continue to grow. As of 2015, it had 1.9 million registrations and 4,011 users on a daily average [2]. ‘Ttareungi’ is a public bicycle sharing system. Residents in Seoul who were fed up with traffic jams and air pollution responded enthusiastically to these green wheels [3]. In 2015, the service launched with 2,000 bicycles in 150 places, and in 2017, the scale expanded to 5,600 bicycles in 450 places. Further plans will make the program even more convenient, with up to 20,000 bicycles and a smart phone app.

Sharing in Seoul is economic, eco-friendly, and not limited to things or vehicles. For instance, Seoul Innovation Park shares spaces and more than that – it shares innovation itself. It is the place to display innovation ecosystems as
fields of activities, not just theoretical links. It provides a park for residents, a research center for innovators, and an incubation space for young entrepreneurs. It is where resources and knowledge are shared, and social values are embraced. Youth Hub, Social Innovation Support Center, Village Community Support Center, and many other social innovation groups are located in this park. Synergic networking and collaboration are also shared. By the end of 2015, about 190 groups had joined.

SPREADING SOCIAL INNOVATION THROUGH SOCIAL ECONOMY

Gangdong Social Economy Support Center
For social innovation cases it is important to scale up and to spread. Many local organizations play an important role in this regard. Gangdong Social Economy Support Center is one of the prominent intermediary organizations initiated in 2012. The Center’s goal is to create a sustainable social economy ecosystem, including private, public, and citizen sectors. It aims at building a social economy hub through networking between social economy groups and private partners, while discovering new social economy players such as social enterprise, ventures, and entrepreneurs. Ultimately, it promotes the social economy of the district of Gangdong and enhances the capacity of the community [4]. The district’s problems of lacking an industrial infrastructure as well as being a bedroom community for commuters had to be confronted, however, the Center is now leading community-based social economy revitalization. Distrust among inhabitants and social fund starvations have been overcome by the active volunteer work of local people. Residents could develop their capability to express their own voices about local pending issues through a bottom-up process. Especially by focusing on pursuing contributions and development in the community, intermediary organizations like the Center activated existing local community networks and conducted trainings to awaken the value of the social economy and inspire social innovation in the process.

SPREADING SOCIAL INNOVATION THROUGH SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Dobong-gu’s private-public governance
Traditional development concepts usually concentrated on civil engineering and mega-sized construction, which often resulted in weakened local finance, civil conflicts, and environmental degradation. Tackling these issues, Dobong,
When facing various urban issues and social challenges, Seoul listens to citizens’ voices by way of collaborative governance and innovation, and thus achieves social innovation together with its citizens.

one of the autonomous districts of Seoul, pushed ahead with a policy reflecting sustainable values of environment, society, and economy by pioneering a shift toward software-centered development. It enacted a Sustainable Development Ordinance in 2015, a first among basic local governments [5]. To provide a basic plan for sustainable development, the district organized a Sustainable Development Committee. One way to understand how the social innovation perspective of Dobong is working is to see it in the form of governance. It openly elected members of the Committee to reflect various opinions from residents and experts. In order to stipulate a sustainable development vision and goals, it operated a special committee to confirm the vision of “Dobong, where people and nature connect, and where everyone wants to live”, and held a ceremony to declare it with the city’s inhabitants. Dobong has ongoing discussions between the Sustainable Development Committee members and civil workers to establish related action plans and unit tasks. Escaping from government-centered administration, the district built a new, social innovation-oriented administration paradigm that harmonizes with sustainable development through consensus among local members.

CONCLUSION

When facing various urban issues and social challenges, Seoul listens to citizens’ voices by way of collaborative governance and innovation, and thus achieves social innovation together with its citizens. Under the leadership of Mayor Park Won-Soon, Seoul initiated social innovations in various areas. It has brought new changes through public service innovation, sharing city innovations, and innovation dissemination across autonomous districts. By doing so, one-sided public welfare services were switched to more interactive ones in which people can live their everyday lives with a stronger sharing spirit and sustainable city environment, and these innovative policies ultimately can be disseminated into basic administrative units. Seoul is assiduously pushing the wheel of social innovation in order to make bigger changes for the Korean society.